

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/574,229	05/19/2000	Toru Chiba	P19101	7775
7055 75	90 07/08/2005		EXAMINER	
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C. 1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE			HECKENBERG JR, DONALD H	
RESTON, VA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1722	
		DATE MAILED: 07/08/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/574,229	CHIBA, TORU					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Donald Heckenberg	1722					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 16 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.					
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance time periods:</li> </ol>	an amendment, affidavit, or other peal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mus	evidence, which place e with 37 CFR 41.31;	es the or (3) a				
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).  MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	•	OWT NIHTIW C				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of App was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CAppeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the	1.37 must be filed within two mont CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	hs of the date of filing of the appeal. Since a	the Notice of				
AMENDMENTS	1.4 . 4 . 1 . 1 . 6						
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,         <ul> <li>They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>They are not deemed to place the application in bel appeal; and/or</li> <li>They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: see attached page. (See 37 CFR 1.116 are</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); ter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally re	TE below); educing or simplifying					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	,	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
the non-allowable claim(s).							
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4,5,21,23,27,28 and 30-35</u> .	•						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	at before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>nation</u> wit or other evidence in the control of the contro	i <u>ot</u> be entered is necessary				
9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  13. Other:							

Art Unit: 1722

1. The amendment filed 16 June 2005 under 37 CFR 1.116 in reply to the final rejection has been considered but is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance and will not be entered because: The proposed amendment raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. More specifically, the amendment raises issues of new matter. Claims 1, 21, and 23 have been amended to recite an upper member "configured to contact the surface of [the] resin molded surface layer[.]" The originally filed disclosure does not provide support for such a configuration. Instead, the originally filed specification indicates that the upper member (or "transfer die") is spaced from the resin molded surface layer of the base member (see for example, spec. p. 4, 11. 15-20, see also Figs. 1 and 3 both showing spaces between the upper member and the resin molded surface layer.

Applicant's arguments filed with the amendment are noted, but all appear to be directed to the claims as amended. As the amendment will not be entered for the reasons set forth above, the arguments are moot.

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donald Heckenberg whose telephone number is (571) 272-1131. The

Application/Control Number: 09/574,229

Art Unit: 1722

examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith, can be reached at (571) 272-1166. The official fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <<a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

Donald Heckenberg

Patent Examiner

A.U. 1722

JAMES P. MACKEY

Page 3

7/7/05